Overt positive degree morphology in Tibetic

Patrick Muñoz

University of Chicago
pjmunoz@uchicago.edu

Donnerstag, 05.03.2020 13:45-14:15 ESA1 O 121

Recent literature on degree morphology and gradable adjectives has sometimes claimed (e.g. Bobaljik 2012: 32, fn. 5; Grano & Davis 2018: 133) that there is no well-described language in which a degree morpheme attending gradable adjectives in the positive form is uncontroversially and overtly realized. If this is true, then it is a problem for semantic theories of gradable adjectives which, following Cresswell (1976), posit a positive-form degree morpheme ('POS') in this position, since there is no descriptive, theory-independent reason to believe in the existence of such a morpheme.

The purpose of this talk is to fill a descriptive lacuna in the theoretical literature: certain varieties of Tibetic, including Ü-Tsang / 'Standard' Tibetan (UT), from which this talk takes its examples, but also Literary Tibetan (Goldstein et al. 1991: 249ff.) and Sherpa (Kelly 2004: 235–236), do overtly realize a distinct morpheme with positive-form gradable adjectives. The marking is robust, regular, and clear, making the case for such a morpheme in Tibetic far less controversial than previous similar claims made for Navajo (Bogal-Albritton 2008: 30), Mandarin (Grano 2012), and Arabic (Grano & Davis 2018).

UT has a distinct class of adjectives, which appear with obligatory degree morphology to the right of the stem, both in attributive and predicative position. This includes a morpheme, most often realized as po, which appears in the positive form. As illustrated with the stem tsha 'hot':

(1) tsha po ('hot') / tsha ba ('hotter') / tsha shos ('hottest')

This questions the generalization in Grano & Davis (2018: 133, ex. 8), according to which comparative and positive forms can't be overtly (i) equally complex and (ii) non-identical. The marker po is obligatory in the positive, it is in contrastive distribution with a wide variety of other degree markers, and it cannot stack with the others (e.g. *tsha po ba). It further has its own morphophonological life, with the allomorph depending on the adjectival stem, and has a cross-categorical degree-related function, appearing also on gradable quantifiers (e.g. manq po 'much/many').

References: Bobaljik, J. D. (2012). Universals in Comparative Morphology: Suppletion, Superlatives, and the Structure of Words. MIT Press. Bogal-Albritton, E. (2008). Gradability and degree constructions in Navajo. B. A. thesis, Swarthmore College. Cresswell, H. J. (1976). The semantics of degree. In B. Partee (ed.), Montague Grammar, 261–292. Academic Press. Goldstein, M. C., G. Rimpoche & L. Phuntshog (1991). Essentials of Modern Literary Tibetan: A Reading Course and Reference Grammar. Munshiram Manoharlal. Grano, T. (2012). Mandarin hen and universal markedness in gradable adjectives. Natural Language and Linguistic Theory 30, 513–565. Grano, T. & S. Davis (2018). Universal markedness in gradable adjectives revisited. Natural Language and Linguistic Theory 36, 131–147. Kelly, B. (2004). A grammar of the Sherpa language. In C. Genetti (ed.), Tibeto-Burman Languages of Nepal: Manage and Sherpa. Pacific Linguistics, 191–324.