Grammatical (non-)identity in Greek participles

Donnerstag, 05.03.2020 10:00-10:30 VMP5 2067/2071

Laura Grestenberger

University of Vienna

laura.grestenberger@univie.ac.at

This paper discusses the diachrony of the Greek passive participial suffix *-menos* and argues that non-identity arises when the morphosyntactic feature content of functional heads changes. The Modern Greek (MG) suffix *-men(os)* forms perfective passive participles and is used in periphrastic passive constructions. Alexiadou & Anagnostopoulou 2008, Alexiadou et al. 2015, etc., have argued that MG *-men-* realizes stative Asp, couched in a DM analysis in which participial suffixes are not categorial, but spell out verbal functional heads—in this case, when no head movement to T has taken place (cf. Embick 2000). Moreover, MG *-men-* has two different underlying structures: target state *-men-* expresses reversible states and selects *v*, (1a); resultant state *-men-* expresses irreversible states and selects Voice, (1b).

(1) a.
$$\left[_{ASPP} - men_{TARG} \left[_{VP} V \left[_{ROOtP} Root DP_{THEME} \right] \right] \right]$$

b. $\left[_{ASPP} - men_{RES} \left[_{VOICEP} Voice \left[VP \ V \left[_{ROOtP} Root DP_{THEME} \right] \right] \right] \right]$

By contrast, Ancient Greek (AG) -men- was a "middle" participial suffix that could occur in a wide range of syntactic contexts including passive, but also in transitive constructions. Furthermore, AG -men- could be used with pres., aor., and perf. stems, while MG -men- is restricted to the perfective passive. Greek -men- therefore displays non-identity synchronically (between target and resultant state readings) and diachronically (from middle to strictly passive syntax). According to Alexiadou et al. 2015, Schäfer 2017 (among others), the functional head that triggers nonactive ("middle") and active morphology in Greek is Voice[-ext.arg.], so the fact that AG -men- is compatible with "middle" contexts suggests that it was able to select this Voice head. On the way to MG, a reanalysis took place in which Voice failed to be acquired in certain contexts. This resulted in a structure that includes only the internal argument of the verb, and thus a passive reading for transitive verbs. The context for this reanalysis was the passive use of -men- in the perfect middle of transitive verbs in periphrastic constructions.

I propose that participial Asp was originally spelled out as follows in AG:

(2) **a.** Asp
$$\longleftrightarrow$$
 men-/_ Voice[-ext.arg.] **b.** Asp \longleftrightarrow -nt-: elsewhere

This changed as -men- was increasingly used in resultative/perfective contexts, leading to a reanalysis by which only Asp[pfv] was realized as -men-. The context in (2a) also changed: from Voice[-ext.arg.] to "thematic passive Voice" (Schäfer 2017), with the features indicated in (3). This led to a restriction of -men- to the perfective stem of transitive, agentive verbs.

(3)
$$Asp[PFV] \leftrightarrow -men-/ \setminus Voice[agent,-D]$$

On the way to MG, the Voice head was lost in contexts where acquirers had inadequate evidence for positing agentive semantics, resulting in a "split" of Asp[PFV] into a target and a resultant state participle. The development of -men- is thus the result of a diachronic reanalysis of the feature content of a levical item.

References: Alexiadou, A. & E. Anagnostopoulou (2008). Structuring participles. Proceedings of WCCFL 26, 33–41. Cascadilla. Alexiadou, A. A., E. Anagnostopoulou & F. Schäfer (2015). External arguments in transitivity alternations. OUP. Embick, D. (2000). Features, syntax, and categories in the Latin perfect. Linguistic Inquiry 31, 185–230. Schäfer, F. (2017). Romance and Greek medio-passives and the typology of Voice. In D'Alessandro, R., Franco, I. & Gallego, A. The verbal domain. OUP, 129–152.

AG 6